

PLANNING AND LICENSING COMMITTEE

9th January 2019

ADDITIONAL PAGES UPDATE

DISTRIBUTED AT THE COMMITTEE MEETING

**AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE
LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985**

Additional Representations on Schedule Items

Pages 1 - 6

ADDITIONAL PAGES ON SCHEDULE ITEMS

Item	Ref. No	Content
03	18/03620/FUL	<p>Applicant/Agent Update: The applicant has provided the following comments in response to the objections raised:</p> <p>(i) overdevelopment of site - We do not wish to overdevelop the site or give that impression for Longfurlong Lane in general. Hence the plan to replace the existing building with a structure that has a similar sized footprint, albeit with a pitched roof in keeping with the bungalow and improved usage of the large existing driveway. We suggested that the structure be moved forward from its current location to increase the buffer between the bungalow and the fence line, making the garden run the entire back similar to 2a. Plenty of space will remain in the front for parking 3/4 cars.</p> <p>(ii) overbearing impact - We did not want to adversely impact our neighbours which is why we wanted the single storey design instead of seeking a two storey design (like the new builds at 2a and proposed for 4 and 4a). The proposed roof line will be a continuity of the bungalow's existing style and improve the aesthetics generally. The design will not result in a loss of privacy for either party nor will it overlook the adjacent property. There is a fence facing the stable area (a replacement is scheduled for mid-January) and the wall prevents any line of sight onto their patio area.</p> <p>(iii) loss of light subjected onto Cutwell Barn - Both Cutwell Barn windows that are close to the connecting wall are north west facing (as are the windows at the back of the bungalow) and consequently neither get direct sunlight. As you can see from the photo, the ground floor kitchen window is below the level of the existing wall. The walled path from front to back of Cutwell Barn will remain an alley similar to the properties across the street, at Tynings, 1 and 3 Longfurlong Lane and like the front/back access to the left-hand side of the bungalow.</p> <p>Background: The original home purchase surveyor and a structural engineer both provided reports that</p>

		<p>indicate the existing garage is unsafe. The connecting wall is buckling outward (towards Cutwell Barn) and has two significant cracks that have weakened the garage's integrity. My concern is that it will eventually collapse into Cutwell Barn's side entrance where the horses pass through. The existing original flat roof is leaking and the copings are defective, the two doors are misaligned and difficult to open (they cannot be adjusted as, over the years, the walls have shifted more than expected), the garage internal roofing timbers are rotting and seem not to have been to code in the first place.</p> <p>Third Party Representations: Further comments have been received from the occupier of Cutwell Barn to supplement their objection to the application. Please see the attached email dated 7th January 2019 and the accompanying photographs referred to in the email.</p>
--	--	---

Katherine Brommage

Subject: FW: Ref 18/03620/FUL
Attachments: 20190107_080726.jpg; 20190107_080756.jpg; 20190107_080817.jpg; 20190107_121609.jpg; 20190107_121627.jpg; 20190107_121633.jpg

From: Christina Ticehurst
Sent: 07 January 2019 12:47
To: Planning mail
Subject: Ref 18/03620/FUL

Dear Sir/Madam

I am emailing with regard to above planning application, No 2 Longfurlong Lane, Tetbury. I live next door at Cutwell Barn and have raised objections to the proposed extension.

I have read the comments made in response to our objection. We are disappointed that there is not more support for our concerns about an extension that is clearly going to cause us problems. However, the main point that concerns me is the response to our objection about the effect the higher and more extensive roofline will have on the light we have in our 2 main living rooms. The case officer has commented that on our gable end we have no windows facing the proposed new extension. This is correct but moving back from our gable we have 2 windows facing No 2 that will be affected, and these windows are to our kitchen and sitting room which are the 2 main living rooms of the house. The proposed higher roofline will certainly block light and sun from these rooms having a detrimental effect.

I have enclosed photos of the views from both of these windows taken at different times of day, showing the light and sky that we see at present. This will be obscured by the new extension. The first 3 photos were taken at 8 am and the last 3 at 12 PM.

I would much appreciate a response to this....I am unable to attend the meeting on the 9th as I am at work, but if it is deemed necessary my partner, Justin Gardner can attend to discuss further.

Yours faithfully,
Christina Ticehurst
Cutwell Barn
Tetbury.

8am



8am



12pm



8am



12pm



12pm



6.